REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated January 13,

2005, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on April 13, 2005.

Claims 4 are currently amended in the Application

Claims 1-6 are pending in the Application.

I. Claim Drawings

The drawings have been amended to recite separate figure numbers for figures 9,

10, and 11. Additionally, all figures numbers have been changed and replacement sheets are

attached to the end of this response.

II. Specification

The specification has been replaced with replacement specification attached to the

end of this office action. Replacement sheets of the figures are attached to the end of this

response.

The Detailed description and the Drawings have been changed to correspond to

each other properly. Additionally the Brief description of the drawings has also been revised to

properly correspond to the new figure numbers.

III. Claim Objections

Claim 4, has been amended to remove the period and insert a semicolon and has

removed the recitation of "said other layer is wire" as examiner Bomar has suggested.

IV. Double Patenting

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 stand rejected under obviousness type double patenting as

being unpatentable over US application Number 10/370,142. Applicant has included a terminal

disclaimer. Applicant believes that this overcomes the double patenting rejection.

Attorney Docket: 1261.03

Serial No: 10/612,207

6

Reconsideration of this Application with the amended claims in view of the remarks expressed throughout this Response is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

Wendy K. Buskop

Patent Attorney Reg. No. 32,202

Please mail correspondence to: Wendy K. Buskop Buskop Law Group, P.C. 1717 St. James Place, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77056

713.403.7411